



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,086		03/01/2002	Denis Gallant	12494-US	9111
23553	7590	03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
MARKS &	<b>CLERK</b>		TRAN, DZUNG D		
P.O. BOX 9	57	•			
STATION I	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OTTAWA, ON KIP 5S7				2613	
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A. C O	10/085,086	GALLANT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dzung D. Tran	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-18</u> is/are	withdrawn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	manaram nom comercialism					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	ed in ting National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list to	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	•	· .				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is vacated, the new rejection is based on Halgren U.S. publication no. 2002/0105696 in view of Nygaard, jr U.S. patent no. 6,785,622 and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halgren U.S. publication no. 2002/0105696 in view of Nygaard, jr U.S. patent no. 6,785,622.

Regarding claim 13, Halgren discloses a transparent optical-electronic switch comprising:

means for recovery a data rate from an incoming serial signal (page 2, paragraph 0025);

means for monitoring signal quality of the incoming signal by monitoring the SONET overhead signal (page 2, paragraph 0027); and

Application/Control Number: 10/085,086

Art Unit: 2633

means for providing data integrity across the transparent switching fabric by providing retimed data suitable for input to the electronic switch matrix so that the retimed data may be used for performance monitoring (page 2, paragraph 0027);

means to provide line loop-back of a signal having undergone re-shaping, re-amplification and reshaping in a clock data recovery unit (e.g., Figures 4 and 5 shown the loopback of the clock data recovery signal thru the electronic switch 3 and page 2, paragraph 0027 discloses the output bit clock and the retimed data may be used for performance monitoring). Thus the monitoring signal must loop-back for performance monitoring. Halgren differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that Halgren does not specifically disclose using an ingress CDR to monitor data eye opening. Nygaard, jr discloses a logic analyzer for eye diagram (col. 2, line 66 to col. 3, line 39). Since it is well recognized in the art that BERT have been used to measure eye diagram or to generate eye diagram (col. 1, lines 29-35, col. 2, line 43). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the logic analyzer of Nygaard in the system of Halgren for analyzing or monitoring the eye diagram (col. 3, lines 33-39 of Nygaard). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to detect data integrity of the optical system.

Regarding claim 14, Halgren discloses a transparent optical-electronic switch comprising:

means for recovery a data rate from an incoming serial signal (page 2, paragraph 0025);

Application/Control Number: 10/085,086

Art Unit: 2633

means for monitoring signal quality of the incoming signal by monitoring the SONET overhead signal (page 2, paragraph 0027); and

means for providing data integrity across the transparent switching fabric by providing retimed data suitable for input to the electronic switch matrix so that the retimed data may be used for performance monitoring (page 2, paragraph 0027);

means to provide line loop-back of a signal having undergone re-shaping, re-amplification and reshaping in a clock data recovery unit (e.g., Figures 4 and 5 shown the loopback of the clock data recovery signal thru the electronic switch 3 and page 2, paragraph 0027 discloses the output bit clock and the retimed data may be used for performance monitoring). Thus the monitoring signal must loop-back for performance monitoring. Halgren differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that Halgren does not specifically disclose using an ingress CDR to monitor data eye opening. Nygaard, ir discloses a logic analyzer for eye diagram (col. 2, line 66 to col. 3, line 39). Since it is well recognized in the art that BERT have been used to measure eye diagram or to generate eye diagram (col. 1, lines 29-35, col. 2, line 43). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the logic analyzer of Nygaard in the system of Halgren for analyzing or monitoring the eye diagram (col. 3, lines 33-39 of Nygaard). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to detect data integrity of the optical system.

Page 5

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Dzung Tran

03/16/2006

Drung draw